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Methodology

Number of respondents: 105 Dickinson students
Margin of error: 9.56
Dates of survey responses: March 30, 2017- April 11, 2017
Interview process consisted of walking around campus (mostly in the library, classrooms, etc.) and handing out the survey to people who walked by. Most of these respondents were students who were friends of our survey group members. The survey was also posted in the Dickinson Class of 2018 Facebook group, as well as sent out in the Dickinson Men’s Lacrosse email chain.
Awareness of Trump's Executive Order Travel Ban

42% Somewhat Aware
55% Very Aware
2.00% Unaware

OPINION ON DONALD TRUMP'S TRAVEL BAN

- Strongly Agree: 5%
- Agree: 17%
- Disagree: 38%
- Strongly Disagree: 39%
To what extent do you believe the countries included in the 'travel ban' pose a threat to US citizens?

- Very Serious Threat:
  - Republicans: 18
  - Democrats: 0
  - Independents: 5

- Somewhat Serious Threat:
  - Republicans: 53
  - Democrats: 18
  - Independents: 43

- Not a Serious Threat:
  - Republicans: 18
  - Democrats: 78
  - Independents: 33

- Unsure:
  - Republicans: 9
  - Democrats: 4
  - Independents: 19
Figure 4: Do you agree with the idea of 'Trump's Mexican Border Wall'?
Do you agree there needs to be heavier border enforcement along the US-Mexico border?

- Strongly Agree: Republicans 15, Democrats 11, Independents 10
- Agree: Republicans 24, Democrats 15, Independents 9
- Unsure: Republicans 14, Democrats 15, Independents 24
- Disagree: Republicans 48, Democrats 44, Independents 9
- Strongly Disagree: Republicans 35, Democrats 6, Independents 6
Political Party Identification Vs Rating of Trump's Foreign Policy

- 5 (Best)
- 4
- 3
- 2
- 1 (Worst)

Legend:
- None
- Republican
- Independent
- Democrat
What level of confidence do you have in Donald Trump's cabinet solving immigration issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very confident</th>
<th>Confident</th>
<th>Unsure</th>
<th>Not too confident</th>
<th>No faith at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MALE</strong></td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEMALE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

- **What would we do different?**
  - Larger sample size
  - More random selection of sample

- **Takeaways**
  - Dickinson Students are polarized
  - Data is similar to surveys on the national scale